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## $4 \mathrm{MSR} \odot$

Essential Media Communications is a member of the Association of Market and Social Research Organisations

## AMSRS

Our researchers are members of the Australian Market and Social Research Society.

## About this poll

This report summarises the results of a weekly omnibus conducted by Essential Research with data provided by Your Source. The survey was conducted online from the $26^{\text {th }}$ to $29^{\text {th }}$ August 2016 and is based on 1,021 respondents.

Aside from the standard question on voting intention, this week's report includes questions on same-sex marriage, life in Australia, obstacles to women getting ahead and asylum seekers.

The methodology used to carry out this research is described in the appendix on page 14.

Note that due to rounding, not all tables necessarily total $100 \%$ and subtotals may also vary.

## Federal voting intention

Q If a Federal Election was held today to which party will you probably give your first preference vote? If not sure, which party are you currently leaning toward? If don't know - Well which party are you currently leaning to?

|  | Total | Last <br> week <br> 23/8/16 | 2 weeks <br> ago <br> 16/8/16 | 4 weeks <br> ago <br> 2/8/16 | Election <br> 7 Sep 13 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liberal | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ |  |
| National | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| Total Liberal/National | $40 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $45.6 \%$ |
| Labor | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ |
| Greens | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Nick Xenophon Team | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | - |
| Other/Independent | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ |
| 2 party preferred |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liberal National | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | $49 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ |
| Labor | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | $51 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ |

NB. Sample $=1,797$. The data in the above tables comprise 2 -week averages derived from the first preference/leaning to voting questions. Respondents who select 'don't know' are not included in the results. The two-party preferred estimate is calculated by distributing the votes of the other parties according to their preferences at the 2013 election.

## National vote on same-sex marriage

Q The Federal Government intends to hold a national vote on same sex marriage. If the question was "Do you approve of a law to permit people of the same sex to marry?" how would you vote?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote <br> Lib/Nat | Vote <br> Greens | Vote <br> other | Men | Women | Aged <br> $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ | Aged <br> $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | Aged <br> $\mathbf{5 5 +}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ | $69 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| No | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $21 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $10 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

$57 \%$ would vote "yes" in a plebiscite on same-sex marriage and $28 \%$ would vote "no". $15 \%$ did not know how they would vote. Those most likely to vote "yes" were Greens voters ( $85 \%$ ), Labor voters ( $69 \%$ ) and voters aged 18-34 ( $62 \%$ ).
$50 \%$ of those aged $65+$ would vote "no".

## Voting if not compulsory

Q If the national vote was not compulsory would you -

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote <br> Lib/Nat | Vote <br> Greens | Vote <br> other | Men | Women | Aged <br> $18-34$ | Aged <br> $35-54$ | Aged <br> $55+$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Definitely vote | $48 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Probably vote | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Probably not vote | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Definitely not vote | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Not sure | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

If voting in the plebiscite on same-sex marriage was not compulsory, $48 \%$ say they would definitely vote, and $24 \%$ would probably vote. $19 \%$ would probably or definitely not vote.
$81 \%$ of those voting "yes" would probably or definitely vote compared to $70 \%$ of those who would vote "no".

## Expectation of national vote

Q Do you expect this national vote on same sex marriage will pass?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote <br> Lib/Nat | Vote <br> Greens | Vote <br> other | Men | Women | Aged <br> $18-34$ | Aged <br> $35-54$ | Aged <br> $55+$ | Vote <br> yes | Vote <br> no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $47 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| No | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Not sure | $30 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $21 \%$ |

$47 \%$ believe that the vote on same-sex marriage will pass and $24 \%$ think it will not pass.
$63 \%$ of those voting "yes" think it will pass but $55 \%$ of those voting "no" think it will not pass.

## Decision on same sex marriage

Q Do you think the issue of same sex marriage should be decided by Parliament or should there be a national vote?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote <br> Lib/Nat | Vote <br> Greens | Vote <br> other | Aug <br> 2015 | Sep <br> 2015 | Mar <br> 2016 | Jul <br> 2016 | Vote <br> yes | Vote <br> no |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Should be decided by Parliament | $25 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Should have a national vote | $59 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Don't know | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

$59 \%$ favour a national vote on same-sex marriage and $25 \%$ think the issue should be decided by Parliament.

This represents little change since this question was asked in July.

## Life compared to 50 years ago

Q Compared with 50 years ago, do you think life for people like you in Australia today is better, worse or about the same?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote <br> Lib/Nat | Vote Greens | Vote other | Men | Women | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aged } \\ & 18-34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aged } \\ & 35-54 \end{aligned}$ | Aged 55+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total better | 45\% | 47\% | 54\% | 60\% | 27\% | 46\% | 46\% | 50\% | 42\% | 46\% |
| Total worse | 34\% | 32\% | 29\% | 25\% | 57\% | 36\% | 32\% | 27\% | 36\% | 40\% |
| A lot better | 18\% | 17\% | 26\% | 23\% | 8\% | 19\% | 18\% | 22\% | 15\% | 20\% |
| A little better | 27\% | 30\% | 28\% | 37\% | 19\% | 27\% | 28\% | 28\% | 27\% | 26\% |
| About the same | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 15\% | 16\% | 16\% | 11\% |
| A little worse | 23\% | 22\% | 22\% | 18\% | 29\% | 24\% | 22\% | 21\% | 23\% | 25\% |
| A lot worse | 11\% | 10\% | 7\% | 7\% | 28\% | 12\% | 10\% | 6\% | 13\% | 15\% |
| Don't know | 6\% | 7\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% | 3\% |

$45 \%$ think that life for people in Australia today is better than 50 years ago and $34 \%$ think it is worse.
Those more likely to think it is better were Greens voters (60\%), Liberal/National voters (54\%), aged 18-34 (50\%), incomes over \$2,000 pw (51\%) and university educated (54\%).

Those more likely to think it is worse were independent and other party voters (57\%), aged 55+ (40\%), those who had not completed year 12 (40\%) and those with incomes under $\$ 1,000 \mathrm{pw}$ (40\%)

## Life for next generation

Q Do you think life for the next generation of Australians will be better, worse or about the same compared with life today?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote Lib/Nat | Vote Greens | Vote other | Men | Women | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aged } \\ & \text { 18-34 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aged } \\ & 35-54 \end{aligned}$ | Aged $55+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total better | 24\% | 24\% | 29\% | 34\% | 15\% | 27\% | 22\% | 29\% | 24\% | 20\% |
| Total worse | 47\% | 46\% | 41\% | 32\% | 66\% | 45\% | 47\% | 36\% | 47\% | 55\% |
| A lot better | 6\% | 5\% | 9\% | 5\% | 5\% | 7\% | 5\% | 7\% | 7\% | 3\% |
| A little better | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 29\% | 10\% | 20\% | 17\% | 22\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| About the same | 22\% | 22\% | 24\% | 30\% | 17\% | 21\% | 23\% | 24\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| A little worse | 27\% | 25\% | 27\% | 24\% | 32\% | 24\% | 29\% | 23\% | 26\% | 31\% |
| A lot worse | 20\% | 21\% | 14\% | 8\% | 34\% | 21\% | 18\% | 13\% | 21\% | 24\% |
| Don't know | 7\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 6\% | 9\% | 11\% | 7\% | 4\% |

$24 \%$ think that life for the next generation of Australians will be better than life today and $47 \%$ think it will be worse.
Those more likely to think it will be better were Greens voters (34\%).
Those more likely to think it will be worse were aged $55+(55 \%)$ and other/independent voters (66\%).

## Obstacles to women getting ahead

Q Which of the following statements is closest to your view?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote <br> Lib/Nat | Vote <br> Greens | Vote <br> other | Men | Women | Aged <br> $18-34$ | Aged <br> 35-54 | Aged <br> $55+$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Significant obstacles still make it harder for <br> women to get ahead than men | $46 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Obstacles that made it harder for women to <br> get ahead are largely gone | $40 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Don't know | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $9 \%$ |

$46 \%$ think significant obstacles still make it harder for women to get ahead than men and $40 \%$ think that those obstacles are largely gone.
Those most likely to think there are still significant obstacles were Greens voters ( $71 \%$ ) and women ( $60 \%$ ).
$53 \%$ of men think those obstacles are largely gone.

## Too soft or too tough on asylum seekers

Q Do you think the Federal Liberal/National Government is too tough or too soft on asylum seekers or is it taking the right approach?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote <br> Lib/Nat | Vote Greens | Vote other | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Too tough | 21\% | 29\% | 9\% | 59\% | 15\% | 7\% | 12\% | 22\% | 27\% | 22\% | 25\% |
| Too soft | 29\% | 26\% | 29\% | 13\% | 42\% | 63\% | 60\% | 25\% | 18\% | 27\% | 29\% |
| Taking the right approach | 31\% | 23\% | 52\% | 13\% | 23\% | 18\% | 11\% | 35\% | 36\% | 34\% | 31\% |
| Don't know | 19\% | 22\% | 10\% | 15\% | 20\% | 12\% | 17\% | 18\% | 18\% | 17\% | 15\% |

29\% (no change since November) think the Government is too soft on asylum seekers, $21 \%$ (down $4 \%$ ) think they are too tough and $31 \%$ (no change) think they are taking the right approach.

Those most likely to think they are taking the right approach were Liberal/National voters (52\%) and aged 65+ (46\%).

## Conditions for asylum seekers

Q As far as you know, are the conditions in which asylum seekers are kept on Nauru and Manus Island good or poor?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote <br> Lib/Nat | Vote <br> Greens | Vote <br> other | Feb <br> Total good |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total poor | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $36 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| Very good | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ | $54 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Good | $20 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Poor | $23 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Very poor | $23 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Don't know | $26 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

$28 \%$ (down 6\% since February) think that the conditions in which asylum seekers are kept on Nauru and Manus Island are good and 46\% (up 6\%) think they are poor.
$36 \%$ of Liberal/National voters think the conditions are good while $74 \%$ of Greens voters and $54 \%$ of Labor voters think they are poor.

## Appendix: Methodology, margin of error and professional standards

The data gathered for this report is gathered from a weekly online omnibus conducted by Your Source. Essential Research has been utilizing the Your Source online panel to conduct research on a week-by-week basis since November 2007.
Each week, the team at Essential Media Communications discusses issues that are topical and a series of questions are devised to put to the Australian public. Some questions are repeated regularly (such as political preference and leadership approval), while others are unique to each week and reflect media and social issues that are present at the time.
Your Source has a self-managed consumer online panel of over 100,000 members. The majority of panel members have been recruited using off line methodologies, effectively ruling out concerns associated with online self-selection.

Your Source has validation methods in place that prevent panelist over use and ensure member authenticity. Your Source randomly selects $18+$ males and females (with the aim of targeting $50 / 50$ males/females) from its Australia wide panel. An invitation is sent out to approximately $7000-$ 8000 of their panel members.
The response rate varies each week, but usually delivers 1000+ interviews. In theory, with a sample of this size, there is 95 per cent certainty that the results are within 3 percentage points of what they would be if the entire population had been polled. However, this assumes random sampling, which, because of non-response and less than $100 \%$ population coverage cannot be achieved in practice. Furthermore, there are other possible sources of error in all polls including question wording and question order, interviewer bias (for telephone and face-to-face polls), response errors and weighting. The best guide to a poll's accuracy is to look at the record of the polling company - how have they performed at previous elections or other occasions where their estimates can be compared with known population figures. In the last poll before the 2010 election, the Essential Report estimates of first preference votes were all within $1 \%$ of the election results.
The Your Source online omnibus is live from the Wednesday night of each week and closed on the following Sunday. Incentives are offered to participants in the form of points. Essential Research uses the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software to analyse the data. The data is weighted against Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) data.
All Essential Research and senior Your Source staff hold Australian Market and Social Research Society (AMSRS) membership and are bound by professional codes of behaviour. Your Source is an Australian social and market research company specializing in recruitment, field research, data gathering and data analysis. Essential Research is a member of the Association Market and Social Research Organisations (AMSRO). Your Source holds Interviewer Quality Control Australia (IQCA) accreditation, Association Market and Social Research Organisations (AMSRO) membership and World Association of Opinion and Marketing Research Professionals (ESOMAR) membership. Both Essential Research and Your Source are ISO accredited market research companies. This research was conducted in compliance with AS: ISO20252 guidelines.

