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## AMSRS

Our researchers are members of the Australian Market and Social Research Society.

## About this poll

This report summarises the results of a weekly omnibus conducted by Essential Research with data provided by Your Source. The survey was conducted online from the $10^{\text {th }}$ to $14^{\text {th }}$ August 2017 and is based on 1,032 respondents.

Aside from the standard question on voting intention, this week's report includes questions on the performance of the Government, opinion of the Government, tax rates, same sex marriage, and cost of living issues.

The methodology used to carry out this research is described in the appendix on page 13.

Note that due to rounding, not all tables necessarily total $100 \%$ and subtotals may also vary.

## Federal voting intention

Q If a Federal Election was held today to which party will you probably give your first preference vote? If not sure, which party are you currently leaning toward? If don't know - Well which party are you currently leaning to?

|  | Total | Last <br> week <br> $8 / 8 / 17$ | 2 weeks <br> ago <br> 1/8/17 | 4 weeks <br> ago <br> 18/7/17 | Election <br> 2 Jul 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liberal | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $33 \%$ |  |
| National | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| Total Liberal/National | $37 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ |
| Labor | $39 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| Greens | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
| Nick Xenophon Team | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| Pauline Hanson's One Nation | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |
| Other/Independent | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| 2 party preferred |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liberal National | $46 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ |
| Labor | $54 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $49.6 \%$ |

NB. Sample $=1,815$. The data in the above tables comprise 2 -week averages derived from the first preference/leaning to voting questions. Respondents who select 'don't know' are not included in the results. The two-party preferred estimate is calculated by distributing the votes of the other parties according to their preferences at the 2016 election.

## Government performance

Q How would you rate the performance of the Turnbull Coalition Government in their handling of the following issues?

|  | Total good | Total poor | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protecting Australia from terrorism | 46\% | 16\% | 13\% | 33\% | 32\% | 7\% | 9\% | 5\% |
| Managing the economy | 30\% | 27\% | 7\% | 23\% | 38\% | 13\% | 14\% | 5\% |
| Treatment of asylum seekers | 30\% | 32\% | 11\% | 19\% | 33\% | 13\% | 19\% | 6\% |
| Reducing the budget deficit | 24\% | 34\% | 5\% | 19\% | 36\% | 18\% | 16\% | 6\% |
| Funding health services and hospitals | 22\% | 40\% | 4\% | 18\% | 33\% | 22\% | 18\% | 5\% |
| Funding schools | 21\% | 34\% | 4\% | 17\% | 37\% | 20\% | 14\% | 7\% |
| Funding universities | 20\% | 40\% | 5\% | 15\% | 32\% | 21\% | 19\% | 8\% |
| Protecting the natural environment | 19\% | 39\% | 5\% | 14\% | 36\% | 21\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Addressing climate change | 19\% | 40\% | 6\% | 13\% | 35\% | 19\% | 21\% | 7\% |
| A fair tax system | 19\% | 38\% | 4\% | 15\% | 36\% | 19\% | 19\% | 6\% |
| Implementing the NBN | 18\% | 46\% | 3\% | 15\% | 31\% | 22\% | 24\% | 5\% |
| Ensuring reliable and affordable energy | 17\% | 51\% | 4\% | 13\% | 27\% | 22\% | 29\% | 5\% |

Overall, respondents think the Government is doing a poor job of handling key issues. On all items but two, respondents were more likely to think the Government's handling of issues was poor.

The only positive issues were protecting Australia from terrorism ( $46 \% \mathrm{good} / 16 \%$ poor) and managing the economy ( $30 \% \mathrm{good} / 27 \%$ poor).
They believed the Government was doing a particularly poor job of ensuring reliable and affordable energy ( $17 \% \mathrm{good} / 51 \%$ poor) and implementing the NBN ( $18 \%$ good/46\% poor).
Only $19 \%$ think the Government is doing a good job of handling a fair tax system ( $38 \%$ poor).

## View of Federal Government

Q Which of the following statement best describe your view of the Federal Government?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote <br> Lib/Nat | Vote <br> Greens | Vote <br> other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I don't like their policies or the decisions they have been making | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | $64 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| I like their policies and am satisfied with the progress they are making | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $8 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| l like their policies but they <br> implementing them | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $16 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Don't know making enough progress in | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $13 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

Respondents were divided strongly according to party preferences on the Government's policies. $41 \%$ said they don't like the Government's policies while $43 \%$ like their policies. However, for those who like their policies a majority ( $28 \%$ ) believed they were not making enough progress in implementing them and while only $15 \%$ were satisfied with the progress they are making.

Among Liberal/National voters about half (49\%) liked their policies but were dissatisfied with the progress they are making.

## Income tax rate

Q Australia's current top income tax rate is $47 \%$ on earnings over $\$ 180,000$. Do you think this rate is too high, too low or about right?

|  | Total | Vote <br> Labor | Vote Lib/Nat | Vote Greens | Vote other | ```Income less than $600 pw``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \$ 600- \\ \$ 1,000 \\ \text { pw } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \$ 1,000- \\ \$ 1,500 \\ \text { pw } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \$ 1,500- \\ \$ 2,000 \\ \text { pw } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income } \\ & \$ 2,000+ \\ & \text { pw } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Too high | 33\% | 32\% | 38\% | 24\% | 29\% | 21\% | 26\% | 36\% | 36\% | 46\% |
| Too low | 12\% | 14\% | 12\% | 9\% | 20\% | 11\% | 13\% | 14\% | 13\% | 12\% |
| About right | 39\% | 40\% | 41\% | 48\% | 37\% | 44\% | 45\% | 33\% | 43\% | 35\% |
| Don't know | 16\% | 14\% | 9\% | 18\% | 15\% | 25\% | 16\% | 17\% | 7\% | 7\% |

$33 \%$ think that the top income rate is too high, $12 \%$ think it is too low and $39 \%$ think it is about right.
$38 \%$ of Liberal/National voters think it is too high while $40 \%$ of Labor voters and $48 \%$ of Greens voters think it is about right.

Opinions were related to personal income. Those on higher incomes were more likely to think the tax rate is too high - although even those on $\$ 2,000+$ pw were divided ( $46 \%$ too high and $47 \%$ too low or about right).

## Same-sex marriage vote

Q The Coalition Government has decided to make a second attempt to hold a plebiscite on same-sex marriage. If it does not pass the Senate, they will hold a voluntary postal vote (which does not need legislation). Do you approve or disapprove of this approach to resolving the issue of same-sex marriage?

|  | Total | Vote Labor | Vote Lib/Nat | Vote Greens | Vote other | Men | Women | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aged } \\ & \text { 18-34 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aged } \\ & 35-54 \end{aligned}$ | Aged 55+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total approve | 39\% | 31\% | 49\% | 25\% | 37\% | 41\% | 37\% | 43\% | 38\% | 35\% |
| Total disapprove | 47\% | 55\% | 37\% | 69\% | 52\% | 47\% | 48\% | 42\% | 45\% | 56\% |
| Strongly approve | 14\% | 13\% | 15\% | 8\% | 15\% | 16\% | 12\% | 13\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| Approve | 25\% | 18\% | 34\% | 17\% | 22\% | 25\% | 25\% | 30\% | 24\% | 21\% |
| Disapprove | 17\% | 16\% | 18\% | 20\% | 20\% | 14\% | 20\% | 19\% | 16\% | 16\% |
| Strongly disapprove | 30\% | 39\% | 19\% | 49\% | 32\% | 33\% | 28\% | 23\% | 29\% | 40\% |
| Don't know | 14\% | 13\% | 13\% | 6\% | 11\% | 13\% | 15\% | 15\% | 16\% | 8\% |

Respondents were more likely to disapprove of the Government's plan for addressing the issue of same-sex marriage. 39\% approved but $47 \%$ disapproved.
Those most likely disapprove were Greens voters (69\%) and Labor voters (55\%) while 49\% of Liberal/National voters approved. Younger voters were more likely to approve of the Government's decision - $43 \%$ of those aged $18-34$ approved compared to $35 \%$ of those aged $55+$.

## Income and cost of living

Q In the last two years, do you think your and your household's income has gone up more than the cost of living, fallen behind or stayed even with the cost of living?

|  | Total | Work full time | Work part time | Income less than $\$ 600$ pw | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \$ 600- \\ \$ 1,000 \\ \text { pw } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \$ 1,000- \\ \$ 1,500 \\ \text { pw } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \$ 1,500 \\ - \\ \$ 2,000 \\ \text { pw } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income } \\ & \$ 2,000+ \\ & \text { pw } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gone up more | 15\% | 22\% | 15\% | 11\% | 11\% | 13\% | 21\% | 21\% | 13\% | 15\% | 14\% | 13\% |
| Fallen behind | 53\% | 47\% | 54\% | 69\% | 58\% | 54\% | 50\% | 42\% | 48\% | 50\% | 54\% | 51\% |
| Stayed even | 25\% | 24\% | 27\% | 14\% | 25\% | 25\% | 26\% | 34\% | 31\% | 27\% | 26\% | 29\% |
| Don't know | 6\% | 7\% | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 8\% | 3\% | 3\% | 8\% | 7\% | 5\% | 7\% |

$53 \%$ (up 2\% since February 2016) believe that, in the last two years, their income has fallen behind the cost of living. 25\% (down 4\%) think it has stayed even with the cost of living and $15 \%$ (up 2\%) think it has gone up more.
$69 \%$ of those earning under $\$ 600 \mathrm{pw}$ and $58 \%$ of those earning $\$ 600-1,000 \mathrm{pw}$ think their income has fallen behind while $55 \%$ of those earning over $\$ 2,000 \mathrm{pw}$ think it has stayed the same or gone up.

## Financial situation

Q Which of the following statements best describes your financial situation?

|  | Total | Work full time | Work part time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income } \\ & \text { less } \\ & \text { than } \\ & \$ 600 \text { pw } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \$ 600- \\ \$ 1,000 \\ \text { pw } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \$ 1,000- \\ \$ 1,500 \\ \text { pw } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \$ 1,500- \\ \$ 2,000 \\ \text { pw } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income } \\ & \$ 2,000+ \\ & \text { pw } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I don't have enough money for basic essentials like housing food and electricity | 8\% | 6\% | 9\% | 21\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| I have enough money for basic essentials but I cannot save any money | 40\% | 35\% | 31\% | 53\% | 46\% | 41\% | 34\% | 28\% | 35\% | 39\% | 35\% |
| I have enough money for basic essentials and I can save a little money | 42\% | 45\% | 50\% | 22\% | 40\% | 45\% | 53\% | 48\% | 47\% | 44\% | 49\% |
| I have enough money for basic essentials and I can save a lot of money | 7\% | 11\% | 7\% | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% | 5\% | 19\% | 8\% | 7\% | 8\% |
| Don't know | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% |

$42 \%$ say they have enough money for basic essentials and can save a little money and $40 \%$ say they have enough money for basic essentials but cannot save any money. Only 7\% say they can save a lot of money.

Only $23 \%$ of those earning less than $\$ 600$ pw say they can save any money - compared to $67 \%$ of those earning over $\$ 2,000$ pw.
Overall, there has been a slight decline in financial situations since this question was asked in February last year - 48\% (up 7\%) say they cannot save any money and 49\% (down 8\%) say they can.
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## Cost of living

Q Compared to two or three years ago is your household paying more or less for the following -

|  | Paying a lot more | Paying a little more | Paying about the same | Paying a little less | ```Paying a lot less``` | Don't know | Feb 2013 <br> Paying a lot more | Mar 2014 <br> Paying a lot more | Feb 2016 <br> Paying a lot more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electricity and gas | 59\% | 25\% | 12\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 70\% | 62\% | 34\% |
| Insurance | 31\% | 38\% | 21\% | 3\% | 1\% | 7\% | 43\% | 36\% | 24\% |
| Medical, dental | 30\% | 33\% | 31\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 33\% | 32\% | 23\% |
| Fresh food - fruit, vegetables, meat | 29\% | 40\% | 26\% | 2\% | <1\% | 2\% | 36\% | 36\% | 25\% |
| Petrol | 27\% | 32\% | 26\% | 6\% | 2\% | 6\% | 50\% | 56\% | 19\% |
| Water | 26\% | 39\% | 26\% | 2\% | 1\% | 7\% | 47\% | 40\% | 13\% |
| Housing - mortgage or rent | 23\% | 25\% | 33\% | 5\% | 3\% | 10\% | 24\% | 24\% | 14\% |
| Packaged food | 21\% | 38\% | 34\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 28\% | 26\% | 15\% |
| Education | 18\% | 20\% | 28\% | 3\% | 4\% | 27\% | 24\% | 21\% | 16\% |
| Clothing | 14\% | 30\% | 44\% | 8\% | 2\% | 3\% | 21\% | 17\% | 13\% |

Items which respondents said they were "paying a lot more" for were electricity and gas (59\%), insurance (31\%) and medical/dental (30\%).
Since this question was asked in February last year, for all items, the perceptions of paying a lot more have increased substantially. However, these results are similar on most items to the surveys of 2013 and 2014.

## Appendix: Methodology, margin of error and professional standards

The data gathered for this report is gathered from a weekly online omnibus conducted by Your Source. Essential Research has been utilizing the Your Source online panel to conduct research on a week-by-week basis since November 2007.
Each week, the team at Essential Media Communications discusses issues that are topical and a series of questions are devised to put to the Australian public. Some questions are repeated regularly (such as political preference and leadership approval), while others are unique to each week and reflect media and social issues that are present at the time.

Your Source has a self-managed consumer online panel of over 100,000 members. The majority of panel members have been recruited using off line methodologies, effectively ruling out concerns associated with online self-selection.
Your Source has validation methods in place that prevent panelist over use and ensure member authenticity. Your Source randomly selects 18+ males and females (with the aim of targeting 50/50 males/females) from its Australia wide panel. An invitation is sent out to approximately $7000-8000$ of their panel members.
The response rate varies each week, but usually delivers $1000+$ interviews. In theory, with a sample of this size, there is 95 per cent certainty that the results are within 3 percentage points of what they would be if the entire population had been polled. However, this assumes random sampling, which, because of non-response and less than $100 \%$ population coverage cannot be achieved in practice. Furthermore, there are other possible sources of error in all polls including question wording and question order, interviewer bias (for telephone and face-to-face polls), response errors and weighting. The best guide to a poll's accuracy is to look at the record of the polling company - how have they performed at previous elections or other occasions where their estimates can be compared with known population figures. In the last poll before the 2016 election, the Essential Report estimates of first preference votes averaged less than $1 \%$ difference from the election results and the two-party preferred difference was only $0.1 \%$.

The Your Source online omnibus is live from the Wednesday night of each week and closed on the following Sunday. Incentives are offered to participants in the form of points. Essential Research uses the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software to analyse the data. The data is weighted against Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) data.

All Essential Research and senior Your Source staff hold Australian Market and Social Research Society (AMSRS) membership and are bound by professional codes of behaviour. Your Source is an Australian social and market research company specializing in recruitment, field research, data gathering and data analysis. Essential Research is a member of the Association Market and Social Research Organisations (AMSRO). Your Source holds Interviewer Quality Control Australia (IQCA) accreditation, Association Market and Social Research Organisations (AMSRO) membership and World Association of Opinion and Marketing Research Professionals (ESOMAR) membership.

